## Case 5:09-mj-70493-HRL Document 4 Filed 06/08/09 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number	09-MJ-70493HRL
v.			
BRUCE LISTMAN	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
In accordance	with the Deil Deferme Act 10 H.C.C.	0.0140/0 1	
in accordance	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C	. § 3142(t), a detention hearing wa	s held on June 8, 2009. Defendant
was present, represente	ed by his afformey Nick Humy AFPD	. The United States was represent	ed by Assistant U.S. Attorney Steven
Seitz .			
PART I. PRESUMPTION			
/ / The deter	idant is charged with an offense desc	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) an	d the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense descr	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while	on release pending trial for a fede	eral, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than	n five (5) years has elapsed since the	date of conviction or the release o	f the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.			
This establishe	es a rebuttable presumption that no c	ondition or combination of conditi	ons will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and			
There is p	robable cause based upon (the indict	ment) (the facts found in Part IV b	elow) to believe that the releted at
has committed an offer	ise		
A. 🖄	for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 10 years or more is 5a et seq., OR	prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 2000
		<u> </u>	
В	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of	a firearm during the commission o	f a felony. RICHARD W. WIEKING
This established	es a rebuttable presumption that no co	ondition or combination of conditi-	ons will reason and use district court NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
appearance of the defer	idant as required and the safety of th	e community.	NORTHERN DISTRICT C. SAN JOSE
/ / No presur			
PARTII. REBUTTAL O	F PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE		
X The defen	dant has not come forward with suff	icient evidence to rebut the applica	ble presumption[s], and he
therefore will be ordere	d detained.		7
/ / The defen	dant has come forward with evidenc	e to rebut the applicable presumpti	on[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burd	en of proof shifts back to the United	States.	
PART III. PROOF (WH	ERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR	INAPPLICABLE)	
/ / The Unite	d States has proved to a preponderan	ice of the evidence that no condition	on or combination of conditions will
	ppearance of the defendant as require		
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
	NDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	·	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at			
the hearing and finds as			
/ / Defendant	his attorney, and the AUSA have w	aived written findings.	
	REGARDING DETENTION	Č	
The defendant is o	ommitted to the custody of the Attor	ney General or his designated repr	esentative for confinement in a
			r being held in custody pending appeal.
	orded a reasonable opportunity for pr		
	uest of an attorney for the Governme		
	tes Marshal for the purpose of an ap		
ned: 618/09	<u> </u>	DWARD R. LLOYD	}
	Un	tited States Magistrate Judge	1

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_